IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 2978 of 1996

Between:

1. Sree Rama Fruit Co., rep. by its PartnerSri B. Vasanth Kumar S/o. B. Narsingh Rao, Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram, Kothapet, Hyderabad.
2. Sai Ram Fruit Co., rep. by its Proprietor Sri M.Narasimhulu S/o.M. Narayanappa, Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram, Kothapet, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Director of Marketing, A.P. Hyderabad.
2 The Person-in-Charge, Hyderabad Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not constructing and allotting sheds in favour of the Petitioners, as illegal, improper, unjust and also declare that the petitioners are entitled for shops/vacant sites at Gaddiannaram Fruit Market Yard, to carry on their business as Commission Agents.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.R.RAMACHANDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR COOPERATION

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

The submission is placed on record and the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

N.V. RAMANA, J

Dated: 18th November, 2004

LSK/ASP

To

1 The Director of Marketing, A.P.
Hyderabad.
2 The Person-in-Charge, Hyderabad Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad.

3 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Cooperation, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

4 Two C.D. copies.